Structure analysis of novel proton conductor

K. Fujii*A*, M. Yashima*A*, W. Zhang*A*

ASchool of Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology

Exploration of novel ceramic materials is important task in the field of the materials science. Recently, our group successfully discovers several novel ionic conductors and mixed anion materials. In the present work, we aim to analyze crystal structures of these materials based on the neutron diffraction data in order to understand relationship between structure and properties. Neutron diffraction techniques enable us to reveal the precise structural information on relatively light elements, such as oxygen and hydrogen. Here, we report our recent research outcome about the novel proton conductor, $Ba₂LuAlO₅.^[1] The$ crystal structure of $Ba₂LuAlO₅$ in $D₂O$ hydrated condition and dry condition at 5 K has been analyzed using the data taken at the HERMES diffractometer.

The samples were sealed into vanadium holders and cooled down to 5 K using the GM-Cryorefrigerator. Constant-wavelength neutron powder diffraction data were measured at the HERMES diffractometer installed at the JRR-3 reactor of the Japan Atomic Energy Agency with the measurement conditions of wavelength: 1.34171(5) Å, step interval: 0.05° in 2*θ* / step.

The data were analyzed by Rietveld method. Fig. 1 shows the Rietveld patterns for neutron diffraction patterns of dry $Ba₂LuAlO₅$ and hydrated Ba₂LuAlO₅∙0.48 D₂O at 5 K. Rietveld refinements for the hydrated $Ba₂LuAlO_{4.52}(OD)_{0.96}$ were successfully carried out based on the hexagonal *P*63/*mmc* Ba₂LuAlO₅ structure. Structure analyses on the basis of 416 models for the proton positions suggested four deuterium sites D1, D2, D3, and D4, which is consistent with the probability density distribution of protons obtained by the ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations. The average OD bond length 0.99(3) Å agrees with those from Raman spectra $0.98(4)$ Å and IR spectra $0.99(4)$ Å. The lattice

parameters *a* and *c* of hydrated $Ba₂LuAlO_{4.52}(OD)_{0.96}$ are 0.26% and 0.82% larger than those of dry $Ba₂LuAlO₅$, respectively, at 5 K, which is attributable to the water incorporation. The final structure refinements yielded good fits and low reliability factors, $R_{wp} = 9.164\%$. The large amount of intrinsic oxygen vacancies in Ba2LuAlO₅ allows higher water uptake of $x = 0.50$ in Ba₂LuAlO₅· xH_2O than other typical perovskite and perovskiterelated proton conductors, resulting in the high proton conductivity.

[1] R. Morikawa et al., Commun. Mater. **4**, 42 (2023).

Fig. 1. Rietveld patterns for neutron diffraction data of (a) dry $Ba₂LuAlO₅$ and (b) hydrated Ba₂LuAlO₅⋅0.48 D₂O at 5 K.

Fig. 2. Refined crystal structures of dry a Ba₂LuAlO₅ and **b** hydrated Ba₂LuAlO₅ \cdot 0.48 D₂O at 5 K.